# BEHAVIORAL INTERVENTION PLANS (BIP)

And Mental Health Supports

## Mental Health and BIPs

- Abundant research highlights negative outcomes associated with mental and behavioral health problems in children and adolescents
  - School dropout, low achievement, increased expulsion, antisocial behaviors, relationship problems, and difficulty finding and maintaining employment (Darney et al, 2013)
- Prevention-based frameworks have been developed to provide prevention and intervention in the school setting.
- Behavioral supports include the use of Behavioral Intervention Plans [BIPs] – for which significant positive student outcomes have been documented (Oram et al., 2016)

# How do BIPs Support Student Mental Health?

- BIPs are a vital component of School-based Mental Health Services [SBMHS]
- BIPs are developed collaboratively by the IEP Team assuring connectedness with families in communication, coordination of goals, and joint decision making (Mathur et al, 2017)
- BIPs like IEPs are individualized to meet the Ss unique mental, emotional, behavioral, and social needs (Bruns et al., 2016)

## Questions?????

- How does the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act [IDEA] address a students' mental, emotional, behavioral, and social needs?
- What are the components of a BIP designed to address a student's mental, emotional, behavioral, and social needs?
- What case law examples illustrate how these components address a student's mental, emotional, behavioral, and social needs?
- What are the prevention and intervention needs to address behavioral challenges?

### The IDEA

A LEA may not use more than 15 percent of the amount such agency receives under this part... to develop and implement coordinated, early intervening services ... who have not been identified as needing special education or related services but who need additional academic and behavioral support to succeed in a general education environment [20 U.S.C. § 1413(f)(1)].

### **Other IDEA Requirements**

The IEP Team shall in the case of a child whose behavior impedes the child's learning or that of others, consider the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and other strategies, to address that behavior [20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(3)(B)(i)].

#### Gen. Ed. Teacher

A regular education teacher of the child, as a member of the IEP Team, shall, to the extent appropriate, participate in the development of the IEP of the child, including the determination of appropriate positive behavioral interventions and supports, and other strategies, and the determination of supplementary aids and services, program modifications, and support for school personnel [20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(3)(C)]. The discipline provisions...

A child with a disability who is removed from the child's current placement ... (irrespective of whether the behavior is determined to be a manifestation of the child's disability) ...shall continue to receive educational services...so as to enable the child to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the child's IEP; and receive, as appropriate, a functional behavioral assessment, behavioral intervention services and modifications, that are designed to address the behavior violation so that it does not recur [20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(1)(D)].

#### More discipline....

If the LEA, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP Team make the determination that the conduct was a manifestation of the child's disability, the IEP Team shall conduct a functional behavioral assessment, and implement a behavioral intervention plan for such child, provided that the LEA had not conducted such assessment prior to such determination before the behavior that resulted in a change in placement...



 Etscheidt, S. (2006). Behavioral intervention plans: A pedagogical and legal analysis of issues. Behavioral Disorders, 31(20) 221-241.



## Mobile County Board of Education (SEA AL 2004)

- 11-year-old S's escalating behavior resulted M w/ multiple disabilities
  S's escalating behavior resulted in physical attack on aides. SD argued that program provided to S was appropriate.
  - For P: Omission of FBA and BIP deprived S of educational benefit.
    Ordered certified behavior analyst engaged at SD expense to evaluate and develop IEP and BIP.

Larson v. Independent School District No. 361 (D.C. MN 2004)

- Elementary P contended that SD failed to aged M w/ complete FBA and BIP. SD argued FBD and that "informal" BIP was integrated ADHD into the IEP.
  - For P: Since S behaviors sole focus of IEP, FBA and BIP must be conducted.

Maine School Administrative District #61

(SEA ME 2004) ■ 10th-grade ■ M w/ LD later changed to OHI

P argued SD had failed to develop BIP for 3 years. SD social worker developed a "responsibility contract" to address behavior.

For P: SD's "responsibility contract" not a substitute for BIP. Tuition reimbursement for private school and transportation costs awarded.

#### R.K. v. New York City Dept. of Ed. [ED DC 2011] District of Columbia Public Schools (SEA PA 2019)

5-year-old F w/ autism. P: S unable to access curriculum due P: SD failed over 2-year period to to self-stimulatory behavior. inappropriate vocalizations & inattention SD: behaviors "not unusual" for

For P: SD failed to include BIP in eloping all classes. IEP; Ps reimbursed for private program. Inquiry NOT if behavior atypical but if behavior impedes learning

S with ADHD [OHI] despite "severe elopement" issues & recommendations by SD psychologist Behavior deteriorated to S For P: 180 hours of comp ed ordered

## **BIP'S MUST BE BASED ON ASSESSMENT DATA** [FBA]

#### Montgomery County Board of Education (SEA AL 2004)

 High-school M w/ SLD

- P challenged the appropriateness and sufficiency of evaluations resulting in the BIP. SD described appropriate and extensive efforts to improve behavior.
  - For SD: BIP based on appropriately conducted and interpreted evaluations. Ineffectiveness of BIP due to lack of attendance, lack of participation, and lack of cooperation by both S & P.

Ingram Independent School District (SEA TX 2001)

- 13-year-old P argued SD failed to provide appropriate FBA and implement or M w/ LD modify the BIP to meet S's needs. SD argues both FBA and BIP adequate.
  - For P (in part): SD ordered to provide more detailed FBA addressing behavior function. "Cursory" FBA and use of home time-outs in BIP inappropriate.

#### Nevada School District (SEA AK 2002)

 13-year-old M w/ ED and ADHD

P alleged BIP with shortened school day, parent escort to and from school, and adult supervision and escort throughout the school day inappropriately denied student FAPE. SD maintained appropriateness of BIP.

For P: BIP outdated and inappropriate. SD ordered to conduct extensive psychoeducational and neuropsychological evaluations C.F. v. New York Dept. of Ed. [2<sup>nd</sup> Cir 2014] 6-year-old M w/ autism. P: alleged proposed [restrictive] district placement due to inappropriate BIP. SD: FBA not conducted but based [from 84 to 76 annually] on reports. BIP included target behavior and remedial strategies For P: Failure to do FBA led to inappropriate BIP = vague & didn't appropriate behavioral supports match behaviors w/ specific interventions & strategies. Tuition reimbursement awarded.

#### In re: S w/ Disability (SEA PA 2019) S w/ ADHD & ODD Hyperactivity, aggression, inappropriate peer and adult interactions SD: behavior incidents < by 9.5 % For P: S's BIP [breaks & Dean intervention] not based on FBA data and failure to include denied FAPE



#### Upper Dublin School District (SEA PA 2004)

- 7th-grade F P charged that program did not include individualized supports to w/ ED and address behavior and self-ADD concept. SD offered group counseling to meet student's needs.
  - For P: SD offer of group counseling did not equate with specific and individualized interventions.



#### Kingsport City School System v. J. R. [ED TN 2008]

- HSSw/LD P: Deficiencies of BIP denied FAPE & ADHD
  - For P: Rather than counseling or social skills training, BIP = S refrain from name calling/inappropriate remarks, avoid contact w/ Ss, have "shadow" escorts

## Neosho R-V School District v. Clark (8th Pencader Charter School [SEA DE 2013] Cir. 2003)

12-year-old M w/ autism/Asperger's Syndrome. SD appealed decision that it failed to provide FAPE. Specifically, P adequately developed or implemented. For P: No cohesive plan in ordered. place; only goals and objectives without specific strategies

16-year-old S w/ autism. P: not involved in BIP development: BIP inappropriate. For P: BIP did not include replacement behaviors or charged BIP had not been systems to R appropriate behavior. Staff training, new BIP based on FBA

#### Dept. of Ed. State of Hawaii (DC HW 2019) HS S w/ autism & anxiety SD: Had annual behavioral goals and benchmark BIP = ABA, positive reinforcement and counseling For P: "Goals reasonable but services and supports provided to achieve goals = unreasonably lacking"

## **BIP'S MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AS PLANNED & MONITORED**

Forrestville Valley Community Unit School District 221 (SEA IL 2002)

- 10-year-old P alleged SD failed to provide services to address S's behavioral Mw/ needs. Landau-Kleffner
  - For P: SD failure to implement BIP Syndrome resulted in crisis for student. SD impairing ordered to hire specialists to oversee BIP and train staff.

#### District of Columbia Public Schools (SEA DC 2019) Calvert County Public Schools (SEA MD 2019)

S w/ multiple disabilities SD: Conducted FBA & developed BIP P: Behaviors continued to exceeding 55 x/day impede learning For P: As behaviors did not improve, IEP must reconvene and discuss how to change BIP to address behaviors [off task, verbal & physical aggression impulsivity]

10-year-old S w/ ID and disruptive behaviors [screaming, yelling, crying, refusing, biting hitting, kicking spitting & running] SD: conducted FBA and developed BIP with token economy, breaks, walks to calm, verbal & nonverbal cues]

#### For P: Failure to

implement any of the interventions on the BIP denied FAPE.

#### Valparaiso Community Schools (SEA IN 2019)

language

and behavior

> S w/ unspecified disabilities SD: Developed BIP with sensory breaks, contact with social worker, access resource room "as needed" to address escalating anxiety and behavior

For P: ambiguity caused implementation failure. IEP failed to specify how Ts would determine if S needed interventions. No way to determine if provided or if meeting Ss needs.

Responsibility of the TOR to inform Ts, RS providers, paras, and others responsible for implementing to have access to SPECIFIC IEP.





# **PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION NEEDS:**

Collaboration between all stakeholders Culturally aware and responsive Continually monitored and modified (Williams, Griffen, & Williams, 2021)

# STUDENT VOICE









						On a scale of 1-5,	rate student dem	eanor on exit:		
Student grade level						1= caim	2			5- extremely
ряК	k.	1	2 1	4	5				-	aggressive or agitated
Gender						Area(s) in which s	tudent spent time			
	Male			Fenale		pionic table	study table	tert	at	couch
Reeson for visi						Exited after 15 mi	ins?			
behavior	scademic support	estansion activity	Nedro- related	19 miated	unsble to determine		yes		10	
On a scale of 1	5, rate studier	t demeanor on	entry.			Overall, how woul	id you rate your pr	eformance in work	ing with the studer	nt?
				Sustanely	Very Effe	ctive	Effective	Needs	Improvement	
1+ cairs	2		3	4	aggressivo or aptited					



# TRENDS

- The "cozy corner" with overstuffed chair and toys was overwhelmingly destination of choice with 61% of visitors selecting the area. The four other areas were roughly equally used Two-thirds of the visitors to the Break Room were boys. 42%, of visitors were rated as "calm" when entering break room; 15% rated "aggressive or agitated" bdy, of visitors were rated as "calm" when leaving the break room; 15% rated "aggressive or agitated" when leaving the break room; 15% rated "aggressive or agitated" when leaving the break room; 15% rated "aggressive or agitated" when leaving the break room; 15% rated "aggressive or agitated" when leaving the break room;

